## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

|   | 1.                    | Project Number (Assigned by fe                | deral unit):     |
|---|-----------------------|---|------------------|
| <ol> <li>Project Name: Swamp</li> <li>Project Sponsor: Jim Ro</li> <li>Sponsors Phone # 541-</li> <li>Sponsor's E-mail: jroper</li> </ol> | oper GPRA<br>618-2470 | • •   |                  |
|   | Name and HUC #(if kr  | nown):<br>nown): <u>Munger Creek 17100309</u> | <u>050218</u>    |
| Township39  | Range 5               | Section(s)1                                   |                  |
| Township5/  |                       | Section(s)                                    |                  |
| Township  |                       |   |                  |
| Township  | _                     |   |                  |
| Township  | _                     |   |                  |
| Township  | •                     |   |                  |
| Township  |                       | Section(s)                                    |                  |
| Township  |                       | Section(s)                                    |                  |
| d. BLM District   | Medford               | e. BLM Resource Area                          | Grants Pass R.A. |
| f. National Forest  |                       | g. Forest Service District                    |                  |
| h. State / Private / Oth  | ner lands involved?   | Yes ⊠ No                                      |                  |

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:** Reduce sediment from this road that flows into Munger Creek. Munger Creek is a Coho Salmon stream.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) Project is approximately .25 miles. Along this route vegetation will be removed from the ditch line, subgrade will be reshaped, place 6 inches of crushed aggregate, install 2 18 inch by 36 feet culverts.

June 07, 2001

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 11.         | Coordination of this project with other relate   | ed proj  | ect(s) on adjacent lands?                           |
|-------------|--|----------|---|
|             | ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then describe   | -        |   |
|             |  |          |   |
|             |  |          |   |
|             |  |          |   |
|             |  |          |   |
| 12.<br>⊠    | How does proposed project meet purposes of   |          |   |
| ⋈           | Improves maintenance of existing infrastructi  |          |   |
| ☒           | Implements stewardship objectives that enhance f<br>Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)] | orest ec | osystems. [sec. 2(b)]                               |
| ⊠           | Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]  |          |   |
| _           | Restores water quanty. [see. 2(0)]   |          |   |
| 13.         | Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]  |          |   |
| $\boxtimes$ | Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]   |          | Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                 |
|             | Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]   |          | Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                |
|             | Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):  |          | [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                                   |
|             | Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]  |          | Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]         |
| $\boxtimes$ | Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]  |          | Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]      |
| $\boxtimes$ | Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]   |          | Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]          |
|             | Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]   |          |   |
|             | Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:   |          |   |
|             |  |          |   |
| 14          | Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expe  | cted O   | utcomes [Sec. 203/b)(5)]                            |
|             | Total Acres:7 b. Total Mil   |          |   |
| c.          | No. Structures:2 d. Estimated  | d People | e Reached (for environmental education projects):50 |
|             | No. of Laborer Days:10   |          |   |
| 1. (        | Other (specify):   |          |   |
| 15.         | <b>Duration of Project and Estimated Complet</b>   | ion Dat  | te [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 15 days 6/15/02                |
| 16.         | Target Species Benefited (if applicable):  |          |   |
|             | Coho Salmon and Steelhead Trout  |          |   |

## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| <b>17.</b> ] | How wi | ll cooperative | e relationships | among people | that use fe | deral lands | be improved? | [Sec. |
|--------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| 2(b)(3       | 3)]    |                |                 |              |             |             |              |       |

Road provides access to residence and public users. Road would be upgrade renovated back to safe standards.

#### 18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, improves public safety. Public has expressed a concern.

#### 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

| Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces movement of sediment.  |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces movement of sediment.  20. Status of Project Planning  a. NEPA Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No b. If No, give est. date of completion: 2/1/02  c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicate ☐ DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicate ☐ ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicate ☐ Ye | ble<br>le<br>le |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:  | е               |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed:   ☑ Yes ☐ No  |                 |
| * DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer  21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment  Contract County Workforce Other (specify):  Other (specify):  |                 |
| 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))  ☐ Yes ⋈ No  |                 |
| 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]  |                 |
| a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$15,000  b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, then display by fiscal year  c. FY02 Request: \$ f. FY05 Request: \$  d. FY03 Request: \$ g. FY06 Request: \$  e. FY04 Request: \$  |                 |

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| Item  | Fed. Agency<br>Appropriated<br>Contribution<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Requested<br>County Title II<br>Contribution<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Other<br>Contributions<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Total<br>Available<br>Funds |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|
|   | [6 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *                        | [2000 = 00 (0)( -)]  | [6 000 = 00 (0)( 0)]                       |                             |
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys                                       | \$500   |  |  |                             |
| 25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation                                   | \$2,500   |  |  |                             |
| 26. Permit Acquisition  | \$250   |  |  |                             |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering                                    | \$500   |  |  |                             |
| 28. Contract Preparation  | \$500   |  |  |                             |
| 29. Contract Administration   | \$500   |  |  |                             |
| 30. Contract Cost   |   | \$17,500   |  |                             |
| 31. Workforce Cost  |   |  |  |                             |
| 32. Materials & Supplies  |   |  |  |                             |
| 33. Monitoring  |   |  |  |                             |
| 34. Other   |   |  |  |                             |
| 35. Project Subtotal  |   |  |  |                             |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects) |   |  |  |                             |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate   | \$4,750   | \$17,500   | \$   | \$                          |

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 6) |
|----|
|    |

| a. | What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project             |
|----|--|
|    | meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this       |
|    | monitoring item? Engineering and Fish Biologist will conduct site surveys to evaluate ecological |
|    | conditions.  |

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Engineering will compile reports for the Contracting Officer which will enable tracking of local work force employment. Project does not offer opportunities for the youth program. Procurement in the State Office is responsible for monitoring this item.
- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Commodities removed from Public Lands are not a part of this project. The improved road may facilitate removal of commodities from this geographic area. Engineering issues and monitors all permits issued for commodity removal from Public Lands

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: Monitoring of this facility is approximately \$300.00 per year.